Planetary Nebulae: Multi-wavelength Probes of Stellar and Galactic Evolution
Proceedings IAU Symposium No. 323, 2017
X. Liu, L. Stanghellini, & A. Karakas, eds.
© 2017 International Astronomical Union
DOI: 00.0000/X000000000000000X

^{3}He Abundances in Planetary Nebulae

Lizette Guzman-Ramirez[1,2]†

[1]European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Casilla 19001, Santiago, Chile
[2]Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 2, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract. The ^{3}He isotope is important to many fields of astrophysics, including stellar evolution, chemical evolution, and cosmology. The isotope is produced in stars which evolve through the planetary nebula phase. Planetary nebulae are the final evolutionary phase of low- and intermediate-mass stars, where the extensive mass lost by the star on the asymptotic giant branch is ionised by the emerging white dwarf. This ejecta quickly disperses and merges with the surrounding ISM.

The abundance of ^{3}He can only be derived from the hyperfine transition of the ionised ^{3}He, which is represented as ^{3}He$^+$, these transition can be observed in the radio at the rest frequency of 8.665 GHz. ^{3}He abundances in PNe can help test models of the chemical evolution of the Galaxy.

Many hours have been put into trying to detect this line, using telescopes like Effelsberg a 100m dish from the Max Planck Institute for Radio Astronomy, the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) 140-foot telescope, the NRAO Very Large Array, the Arecibo antenna, the Green Bank Telescope, and only just recently, the Deep Space Station 63 antenna from the Madrid Deep Space Communications Complex.

Keywords. circumstellar matter – radio: abundances, planetary nebulae.

arXiv:1612.02599v1 [astro-ph.SR] 8 Dec 2016

1. Introduction

Our Universe has been evolving for 13.8 Gyr. Over these years many stars formed and ended their lives enriching the interstellar medium (ISM), and in consequence enriching the Universe (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014). Very few elements have been around since the beginning, formed by the Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN). BBN is responsible for the formation of most of the helium isotope (^{4}He) in the Universe, along with small amounts of deuterium (D), the helium isotope (^{3}He), and a very small amount of the lithium isotope (^{7}Li) (Alpher, R. A. et al. 1948).

The predicted abundance (by number) of ^{3}He (relative to H) formed by the BBN (just after a few minutes after the Big Bang) is 1.0×10^{-5} (Karakas, A. I. & Lattanzio, J. C. 2014). This abundance depends only on the parameter of the current density of baryonic matter. The present interstellar ^{3}He abundance, as per all the light elements, comes from a combination of BBN and stellar nucleosynthesis (Wilson, T. L. & Rood, R. 1994). H II regions are young objects compared with the age of the Universe, and represent zero-age objects. Their ^{3}He abundance is the result of 13.8 Gyr of Galactic chemical evolution. In between is the Solar System, which traces abundances at the time of its formation, 4.6 Gyr ago.

Observed values in pre-solar material (Geiss, J. 1993) and the ISM (Gloeckler, G. & Geiss, J. 1996) imply that ^{3}He/H=$(2.4\pm0.7)\times10^{-5}$. These values from the ISM and pre-solar material are approximately twice of the BBN, implying that the ^{3}He abundance has increased a little in the last 13.8 Gyr. On the other hand any hydrogen-burning zone of a star which is not too hot ($>7\times10^6$ K) will produce ^{3}He via the *p-p* chain, implying that stars with masses <2.5 M$_\odot$ are net producers of ^{3}He. For these stars, *p-p* burning is rapid enough to produce D *in situ*, and enable the production of ^{3}He (D+ p $\rightarrow$ ^{3}He + γ). Stellar evolution models indeed predict the formation

† E-mail: guzmanl@strw.leidenuniv.nl

of ^{3}He in significant amounts by stars of 1–2.5 $M_\odot$, with an abundance of ^{3}He/H $> 10^{-4}$ (Bania, T. M. et al. 2010), which would have raised the current ^{3}He abundance to ^{3}He/H$\sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$, substantially higher than observed (Karakas, A. I. & Lattanzio, J. C. 2014).

Galli, D. et al. (1995) presented "The ^{3}He Problem". According to standard models of stellar nucleosynthesis there should be a ^{3}He/H abundance gradient in the Galactic Disk and the protosolar ^{3}He/H value should be less than what is found in the present ISM. Observations of the ^{3}He abundance in H II regions show almost no enrichment above the BBN value (Rood, R. T. et al. 1979; Bania, T. M. et al. 2007). For the ^{3}He problem to be solved, the vast majority of low-mass stars should fail to enrich the ISM. One suggestion to solve this problem is by adding extra mixing in the red giant branch (RGB) stage. This extra mixing adds to the standard first dredge-up to modify the surface abundances. Eggleton, P. P. et al. (2006) estimated that while 90% of the ^{3}He is destroyed in 1 $M_\odot$ stars, only 40-60% is destroyed in a 2 $M_\odot$ star model, depending on the speed of mixing.

2. Detecting the $^3He^+$ emission line

The abundance of ^{3}He can only be derived from the hyperfine transition at the rest frequency of 8.665 GHz. Detecting $^3He^+$ in PNe challenges the sensitivity limits of all existing radio telescopes. Composite $^3He^+$ average spectrum for six planetary nebulae (NGC 3242, NGC 6543, NGC 6720, NGC 7009, NGC 7662, and IC 289), using Effelsberg, Arecibo and the Green Bank Telescope observations. They all consistently show $^3He^+$ emission at the $\sim$1 mK level. Using the same telescopes later on a detection was obtained in NGC 3242 and J320. These detections and the composite spectrum measurements translates into an abundance of ^{3}He/H of a few 10^{-4} (Balser, D. S. et al. 1997, 1999, 2006).

Later on more effort was put into this problem, using the Very Large Array, for several tens of hours 3 planetary nebulae were observed (IC 418, NGC 6572, and NGC 7009), unfortunately no line was detected and only upper limits in the abundance were obtained (Guzman-Ramirez, L. et al. 2013). Only just recently (beginning of this year) Guzman-Ramirez, L. et al. (2016) using the Deep Space Station 63 antenna from the Madrid Deep Space Communications Complex, another $^3He^+$ detection was observed in the planetary nebula IC 418. With more than 20 years of looking for these detections, so far we have only 3 objects with more than a 3σ detection of ^{3}He: J320, NGC 3242, and IC 418.

Two aspects of these detections needs to be considered; Firstly, the derived $^3He^+$ abundance is well above model expectations for all the three objects. This tell us that the stars do produce and release its ^{3}He into the interstellar medium. Secondly, the $^3He^+$ line profile for all the three objects shows a double peaked shape. Although the full width of the $^3He^+$ line is consistent with the optical expansion velocity, the profile differs from that of the recombination lines, peaking at the outermost velocities.

The two other planetary nebulae have reported $^3He^+$ detections: J320 (Balser, D. S. et al. 2006) and NGC 3242 (Balser, D. S. et al. 1997, 1999). Both have double peaked profiles, similar to IC 418 (Fig. 1). Both objects have haloes, in the case of NGC 3242 possibly as large as 18 by 24 arcmin diameter. Whether helium in such a halo could be photo-ionized by the star is not clear. A double-peaked profile could arise from an expanding detached shell, which is larger than the beam of the telescope. In this case, the outer components of the profile, which differ from the recombination lines, come from this large region, whilst the central part of the profile arises from the inner, ionized nebula. Balser, D. S. et al. (1999) also proposed a contribution from a large, low density halo. The emission in the $^3He^+$ hyperfine line scales with $\int n\,dr$ (column density), and that of recombination line with $\int n^2\,dr$. Therefore, a low density but high mass halo could explain the difference in profiles.



Figure 1. All the $^3He^+$ line detected in planetary nebulae. The sources are NGC 3242 (Balser et al. 1999), J320 (Balser et al. 2006), and IC 418 (this work). In order to facilitate the comparison, the abscissa is presented as radial velocities relative the LSR systemic velocity of each source (dashed-line), and the intensity scale as fractions of the peak intensity of each source.

3. Stellar evolution

The contribution of PNe to the ^{3}He abundance is crucial for understanding the Galactic chemical evolution. The number density of $^3He^+$ atoms, $n(^3He^+)$, can be obtained by dividing the column density $N(^3He^+)$ by the averaged optical path, $< \Delta s >$, through the source. Representing a PN as a homogeneous sphere of radius $R = \theta_s D$, where D is the distance, the optical path at angle from the centre θ is $\Delta s(\theta) = 2\sqrt{R^2 - (\theta D)^2}$, and the optical path averaged over the source becomes $< \Delta s >= \pi R/2 = \pi\theta_s D/2$. To calculate the fractional ^{3}He abundance we divide by the H^+ density.

Figure 2 shows the ^{3}He abundances of the PN IC 418 (both purple crosses, taken from Guzman-Ramirez, L. et al. (2016)). For comparison the upper limits calculated from Guzman-Ramirez, L. et al. (2013) observations are presented using the red arrows. Balser, D. S. et al. (1997) observations are shown in green: the cross represents J320 and the arrows are the upper limits, where the mass estimates are from Galli, D. et al. (1997). The stellar evolution models are also presented in the same figure.

4. Future

The values observed in these objects proves that ^{3}He is produced at the centre of low-mass stars, and is ejected into the interstellar medium at the end of its life. However, the large amounts of ^{3}He produced in these models is at odds with the abundance of ^{3}He observed in the interstellar medium and the Solar System. Further research on other planetary nebulae will be needed to solve the ^{3}He problem, but as the emission is extremely weak and hard to detect, many observing hours are needed, or telescopes with higher sensitivity like the Square Kilometre Array will be needed to do follow this research.



Figure 2. Abundances of ^{3}He (relative to H) for the PN IC 418 (both purple crosses, for upper and lower estimate), the size of the bars correspond to the uncertainties. Red arrows are the upper limits from Guzman-Ramirez, L. et al. (2013). The green cross represents J320 and the green arrows represent the sample of 6 PNe from Balser, D. S. et al. (1997). The curves for Pop I (light-blue line) and II (red dashed-line) show the standard abundance of ^{3}He taken from Weiss, A. et al. (1996). The purple dashed-line labelled Extra mixing represents the results of models including deep mixing by Boothroyd, A. I. & Sackmann, I.-J. (1999), and the blue line shows the stellar models using thermohaline mixing (Charbonnel, C. & Zahn, J.-P. 2007). The black line is the primordial value of ^{3}He/H from the BBN (Karakas, A. I. & Lattanzio, J. C. 2014).

References

Bania, T. M., Balser, D. S., Rood, R. T., Wilson, T. L., & LaRocque, J. M. 2007, ApJ, 664, 915
Bania, T. M., Rood, R. T., & Balser, D. S. 2010, IAU Symposium, 268, 81
Guzman-Ramirez, L., Pineda, J. E., Zijlstra, A. A., Stancliffe, R., & Karakas, A. 2013, MNRAS, 432, 793
Guzman-Ramirez L., Rizzo J. R., Zijlstra A. A., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 460, L35
Planck Collaboration, et al. 2014, A&A, 571, A1
Alpher, R. A., Bethe, H, & Gamow, G 1948, Physical Review, 73, 803
Karakas, A. I. & Lattanzio, J. C. 2014, Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia, 31, 30
Wilson, T. L. & Rood, R. 1994, Annual Review of Astron and Astrophys, 32, 191
Geiss, J. 1993, Origin and Evolution of the Elements, 89
Gloeckler, G. & Geiss, J. 1996, Nature, 381, 210
Galli, D., Palla, F., Ferrini, F., & Penco, U. 1995, ApJ, 443, 536
Rood, R. T., Wilson, T. L., & Steigman, G. 1979, ApJL, 227, L91
Eggleton, P. P., Dearborn, D. S. P., & Lattanzio, J. C. 2006, Science, 314, 1580
Balser, D. S., Bania, T. M., Rood, R. T., & Wilson, T. L. 1997, ApJ, 483, 320
Balser, D. S., Rood, R. T., & Bania, T. M. 1999, ApJL, 522, L73
Balser, D. S., Goss, W. M., Bania, T. M., & Rood, R. T. 2006, ApJ, 640, 360
Hjellming, R. M., Andrews, M. H., & Sejnowski, T. J. 1969, Astrophys. Lett, 3, 111
Morisset, C. 2006, IAU Symposium, 234, 467
Galli, D., Stanghellini, L., Tosi, M., & Palla, F. 1997, ApJ, 477, 218
Weiss, A., Wagenhuber, J., & Denissenkov, P. A. 1996, A&A, 313, 581
Boothroyd, A. I. & Sackmann, I.-J. 1999, ApJ, 510, 232
Charbonnel, C. & Zahn, J.-P. 2007, A&A, 467, L15